Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/909,012	SAKSENA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert B Mondesi	1653
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) Robert B Mondesi.	(3)	
(2) <u>Harvey Cohen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 February 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	] Applicant's representative) No	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Scope of enablement.		
Claims discussed: 1-31 and 39-40		•
Prior art documents discussed: Walker et al. Hepatitis C. Virus therapies: current treatments	ments, targets and future perpective	s.
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE What is the extent of the needed examples in order to see the second		_
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a so directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a so did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
RAS O	<u> </u>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (/	Applicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Applicats' representive is presently discussing options availabe inorder to overcome the rejection and make the application allowable.